[WIOA Youth Special Projects Military Youth]

QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

PROGRAM YEAR 2017 -2018

Review Date: February 6, 2018

LWDB 01



CareerSource Escarosa

February 14, 2018

3670-2A North "L" Street Pensacola, Florida 32505

www.careersourceescarosa.com

MONITORING EXIT SUMMARY

A total of thirteen (13) WIOA Youth Special Projects (Military Youth) case files were monitored during this period. The final outcome of this review revealed no (0) apparent concerns at this time.

2017-2018 WOIA Programmatic Review Report Page Youth Special Project(s)						
		OSY	ISY	Total		
1	Indicate whether the youth is an Out- of-School Youth (OSY) or an In-School Youth (ISY).	6	7	13		
	Percent	46.2%	53.8%			
		Voc	No	Total		
2	YAZ	Yes	No	Total		
2	Was documentation in the case file to verify school status? (Y, N, X)	13	0	13		
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%			
	stopped here #2					
		Yes	No	Total		
3	Was documentation in the case file to support the federal or local barrier(s) entered in the MIS? (Y, N) (Note: A barrier is required for all youth).	7	0	7		
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%			
		Yes	No	Total		

4	Was documentation in the case file that the youth was determined eligible based on the total family income calculation, receipt of cash assistance, Supplemental Social Security Income, Social Security Disability Insurance, Food Stamps, or was disabled, living in a high poverty rate area, receives or is eligible for free or reduced lunch, a homeless/runaway or a foster child? (Y, N, X)) Note: Low income determination is not required if the participant is an OSY and meets one or more of the barriers listed in WIOA Sec. 129 (a)(1)(B).	7	0.0%	7	
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
5	If "X" to #4, was the participant determined eligible under the 5% exception criteria and was documentation in the case file to support this program eligibility? (Y, N, X).	0	0	13	13
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
6	Was documentation of age in the case file? (Y, N). (Note: In-School youth must be 14-21 and Out-Of-School youth must be 16-24 years of age).	13	0	0	13
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
I					

		Yes	No	N/A	Total
7	Was documentation in the case file of U.S. citizenship or authorization to work in the U.S.? (Y, N).	13	0	0	13
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
8	Was selective service verification documentation in the case file at the time of registration or during participation? (Y, N, X) (Applicable to males over the age of 18 years at registration).	8	0	5	13
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	NI / A	Total
9	If the applicant is a non-emancipated youth under 18 years of age, or 18 years of age and older with a legal guardian due to disability, did the application include the signature of a parent or legal guardian or was there a self-attestation from a parent or legal guardian in the case file? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = the youth is 18 years of age or greater or an emancipated minor). Percent	100.0%	No 0	N/A 4	Total 4
	rerecite	100.070	0.070		
Vete	erans			<u> </u>	
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
10	If the participant was a veteran, did the file contain documentation to	0	0	13	13

		Yes	No	N/A	Total
11	If the participant was an eligible spouse of a veteran, did the file contain documentation to verify eligible spouse of a veteran status?(Y, N, X) (Note: X= Participant was not an eligible spouse of a veteran).	1	0	12	12
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
CDI	EVANCE FORM				
GKI	EVANCE FORM		1		<u> </u>
		Yes	No	Total	
12	Was a signed and dated Grievance/Complaint and EEO/Discrimination Form in the participant's hard copy case file? (Y, N).	13	0	13	
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
13	If yes to #12, did the Grievance/Complaint and EEO/Discrimination Form include the correct name and addresses for filing a grievance or EEO complaint? (Y, N).	13	0	0	0
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
CAR	NEED DATESTALAN				
	REER PATHWAY ECTIVE ASSESSMENT and INDIVIDUAL	SERVICE	СТРАТ	ECV (ICC	<u> </u>
ODJ	LCTIVE ASSESSMENT and INDIVIDUAL	JERVICE	JINAI		' <u>'</u>
		Yes	No	Total	+

4.4	TAT	12	0	10	
14	Was documentation in the case file of	13	0	13	
	an objective assessment? (Y, N).	10000	2.22/		
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
15	If yes to #14, does the Objective	13	0	0	0
	Assessment include an assessment of				
	the academic level, occupational skill				
	level, as well as the service needs and				
	strengths of the participant? (Y, N, X).				
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
IND	IVIDUALIZED CAREER SERVICES				
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
16	Was documentation in the case file of	13	0	0	13
	an ISS that was created jointly by the				
	participant and the career manager				
	and had at least one of the 14				
	program elements listed? (Y, N). (If N,				
	questions 17 and 18 will be X).				
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
			01070		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
17	If yes to #16, does the ISS directly	13	0	0	13
	link to one or more of the				
	performance indicators and does the				
	ISS list the activities and services the				
	youth will be or has been engaged in				
	during participation in the WIOA				
	program? (Y, N, X).				
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
	1 CI CCIII	100.070	0.070		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
18	If you to #16, was the ISS in the case	13	0	0	13
10	If yes to #16, was the ISS in the case	13	U	U	13
	file updated as activities were				
	completed, benchmarks reached,				

	goals ashioved and for the youth's				
	goals achieved and/or the youth's				
	needs changed? (Y, N, X).	100.00/	0.007		
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
MEA	SUREABLE SKILLS GAIN		_		
		Yes	No	Total	
19	Was a Measureable Skills Gain recorded in the State MIS? (Y, N) If "Y", select the type of measureable	0	0	0	
	skills gain recorded. If "N" Questions 19a-21 will be "X".				
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	Total	
19 a	i - Achievement of at least one educational functioning level of a participant in an educational program that provides instruction below the post- secondary level (Y, X).	0	0	0	
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	NI / A	Total
19 b	ii - Attainment of a high school diploma or its equivalent (Y, X).	0	0	N/A 0	0
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
19c	iii – Transcript or report card for either secondary or post-secondary education that shows a participant is achieving the state unit's academic standards (Y, X).	0	0	0	0

	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
19 d	iv – Satisfactory or better progress report, toward established milestones, from an employer/training provider who is providing training (e.g., completion of on-the-job training (OJT), completion of 1 year of an apprenticeship program, etc.) (Y, X).	0	0	0	0
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
			1		m ,
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
19 e	v – Successful passage of an exam that is required for a particular occupation, progress in attaining technical or occupational skills as evidenced by trade- related benchmarks such as knowledge based exams (Y, X).	0	0	0	0
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
20	If yes to #19, was documentation of the Measureable Skills Gain maintained in the participant's case file? (Y, N, X)	0	0	0	0
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
21	If yes to #20, does the documentation in the case file match the Measurable Skills Gain entered in the MIS? (Y, N, X)	#REF!	#REF!	#REF !	#REF !

	Percent	#REF!	#REF!		
WO	 RK EXPERIENCE				
****	WIX EM EMENCE				
		Yes	No	Total	
22	Was a WE activity entered in the State's MIS? (Y, N) (Note: N = Participant did not receive a WE activity). (If N, questions 23 through 31 will be X).	0	0	0	
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
23	If yes to #22, was a WE activity agreement executed between the employer and the LWDB for the participant's training? (Y,N,X) (X = Participant did not receive WE activity).	0	0	0	0
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		**	3.7	NT / A	m . 1
2.4	147 1	Yes	No	N/A	Total
24	Was documentation in the case file that the WE start date was on or after the employer's WE activity agreement effective date? (Y, N, X) (X = Participant did not receive WE activity).	0	0	0	0
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N / A	Total
25	Did the work experience include academic and occupational education? (Y, N, X) (X = Participant did not receive WE activity). Note: The educational component may occur concurrently or sequentially	0	0	N/A 0	0

	with the weeks own onion as Eventh on				
	with the work experience. Further				
	academic and occupational education				
	may occur inside or outside the work				
	site.	0.007	0.007		
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
26	Was this a paid WE? (Y, N, X) (Note:	0	0	0	0
	X=Participant did not participate in a				
	WE activity).				
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		V	NI -	NT / A	T-4-1
	76	Yes	No	N/A	Total
27	If yes to #26, was the participant paid	0	0	0	0
	the wage stated in the agreement and				
	were FLSA requirements met? (Y, N,				
	X) (X = Participant did not receive WE				
	activity).				
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
28	Did the case file contain timesheets to	0	0	0	0
	support the participant's engagement				
	in the work experience activity? (Y, N,				
	X) (Note: X = Participant did not				
	receive a WE activity).				
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
29	Was the WE training provided as	0	0	0	0
	described in the WE Training Plan?				
	(Y, N, X) $(X = Participant did not$				
	receive WE activity).				
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
30	Did the participant complete the WE	0	0	0	0
	activity? $(Y, N,X) (X = Participant did)$				
	$\frac{1}{1}$ activity: (1, 14, A) (A - 1 at ticipant ulu				

	not receive WE activity).				
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
31	If yes to #30, did the employer provide a copy of the training completion information? (Y, N, X) (X = Participant did not receive WE activity, did not complete the activity, or did not receive a certificate of completion/credential).	0	0	0	0
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
Occ	upational Skills Training/ITA	1			<u> </u>
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
32	Was an Occupational/Skills Training activity entered in the State's MIS? (Y, N) (Note: N = Participant did not receive Occupational/Skills Training services) (If N, questions 33 through 36 will also be X).	0	0	0	0
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
33	If yes to #32, was documentation in the case file of a determination of need for training services as identified in the ISS? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = Participant did not receive Occupational Skills Training services).	0	13	0	13
	Percent	0.0%	100.0		

					_
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
34	If yes to #33, was the training in a local/state demand occupation? (1) outcome-oriented and focused on an occupational goal specified in the individual service strategy; (2) Be of sufficient duration to impart the skills needed to meet the occupational goal; and 3) Lead to the attainment of a recognized postsecondary credential (Y, N, X). (Note: X = Participant did not receive Occupational Skills Training services or participant did not enroll in Occupational skills training with an ITA).	0	0	13	13
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
	refeelt	0.070	0.070		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
35	Was the training provider on the local/state approved eligible training provider list (ETPL)? (Y, N, X). (Note: X = Participant did not receive Occupational Skills Training services or participant did not enroll in Occupational skills training with an ITA).	0	0	0	0
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
36	If an Individual Training Account (ITA) was utilized, were the ITA costs recorded in the Training Enrollment	0	0	13	13

Cost table in the State's MIS? (Y, N, X). (Note: X = Participant did not receive Occupational Skills Training services or participant did not enroll in Occupational Skills Training with an ITA). Note: ITA's not applicable for ISY. Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
PORTIVE SERVICES	1			
	Yes	No	Total	
Was a supportive service entered in the State MIS? (Y, N) (Note: N = Participant did not receive a supportive service). (If N, questions 38 through 40 will be an X).	0	0	0	
Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
	Yes	No	N/A	Total
If yes to #37, was documentation in the participant case file to verify the supportive service provided? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = No supportive service was provided).	0	0	0	0
† *	0.0%	0.0%		
		7.5		
	Yes	No	N/A	Total
Did the supportive services documented in the case file match the supportive services entered in the State MIS? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = No supportive service was provided).	0	13	0	13
Percent	0.0%	100.0		
	X). (Note: X = Participant did not receive Occupational Skills Training services or participant did not enroll in Occupational Skills Training with an ITA). Note: ITA's not applicable for ISY. Percent PORTIVE SERVICES Was a supportive service entered in the State MIS? (Y, N) (Note: N = Participant did not receive a supportive service). (If N, questions 38 through 40 will be an X). Percent If yes to #37, was documentation in the participant case file to verify the supportive service provided? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = No supportive service was provided). Percent Did the supportive services documented in the case file match the supportive services entered in the State MIS? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = No supportive service was provided).	X). (Note: X = Participant did not receive Occupational Skills Training services or participant did not enroll in Occupational Skills Training with an ITA). Note: ITA's not applicable for ISY. Percent 0.0% PORTIVE SERVICES Was a supportive service entered in the State MIS? (Y, N) (Note: N = Participant did not receive a supportive service). (If N, questions 38 through 40 will be an X). Percent 0.0% If yes to #37, was documentation in the participant case file to verify the supportive service provided? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = No supportive service was provided). Percent 0.0% Did the supportive services documented in the case file match the supportive services entered in the State MIS? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = No supportive servicewas provided).	X). (Note: X = Participant did not receive Occupational Skills Training services or participant did not enroll in Occupational Skills Training with an ITA). Note: ITA's not applicable for ISY. Percent	X). (Note: X = Participant did not receive Occupational Skills Training services or participant did not enroll in Occupational Skills Training with an ITA). Note: ITA's not applicable for ISY. Percent O.0% O.0% PORTIVE SERVICES Yes No Total Was a supportive service entered in the State MIS? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = No supportive service was provided). Percent O.0% O.0% Total O.0% O.0% O.0% If yes to #37, was documentation in the participant case file to verify the supportive service was provided). Percent O.0% O.0%

		Yes	No	N/A	Total
40	If yes to #39, was documentation in the case file to show that the supportive services were issued in accordance with local, state and federal policy? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = No supportive service was provided).	0	0	13	13
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
CRE	DENTIAL ATTAINMENT				
		Yes	No	Total	
41	Was a credential attainment entered in the State MIS? (Y, N). (Note: N = Participant did not receive a credential). (If X, questions 42 and 43 will be X).	0	0	0	
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
42	If yes to #41, was documentation in the participant's case file to support the credential? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = no credential entered in MIS).	0	0	0	0
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
43	If yes to #42, did the credential attainment date and type match the credential attainment information entered in the MIS? (Y, N, X).	13	0	0	13
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		

EXI	Γ and FOLLOW-UP				
		Yes	No	Total	
44	Was the participant exited in the State MIS? (Y, N) (N = Case is open or there is a WIOA case closure but no exit) (If N, question 45 through 53 will be X).	13	0	13	
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
45	If yes to #44, and the participant exited with unsubsidized employment, was documentation in the case file to verify the employment start date and wage information? (Y, N, X). (X = Participant did not exit with employment).	0	0	0	0
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
46	If yes to #45, was the employment information accurately entered in the MIS? (Y, N, X). (X = Participant did not exit with employment).	13	0	0	13
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
FOI.	LOW-UP SERVICES				
102	2011 OF BERTYICES	Yes	No	Total	
47	Were follow-up services provided to the participant? (Y, N,) (Note: N = Participant's case is currently open, there is a case closure but participant	13	0	13	

	has not exited the program, the				
	participant declines to receive follow-				
	up services or the participant cannot				
	be located).				
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
48	If no to #47, was documentation in the case file to verify that follow-up services were offered? (Y,N,X) (Note: X = The participant declines to receive follow-up services or the participant cannot be located).	0	0	0	0
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
49	If yes to #47, is there documentation to show that follow-up services were provided for a minimum of 12 months for youth who accepted them? (Y,N,X) (Note: X = Participant denied follow-up services or it has not been 12 months since follow-up services were first provided).	13	0	0	13
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
50	If yes to #47, was documentation in the case file of the follow-up services provided to the participant? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = Participant did not receive follow-up services).	13	0	0	13
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
011					
QUA	ARTERLY FOLLOW-UPS				

		Yes	No	N/A	Total
51	Were required follow-ups conducted for each of the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th quarters after exit intervals, as applicable? (Y,N,X) (Note: If No, questions 52 and 53 will be X). (Note: X = Participant's case is currently open, there is a case closure but participant has not exited the program, follow-up is not due or the participant cannot be located).	13	0	0	13
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
52	If yes to #51, were the follow-ups conducted by the due date indicated in the follow-up table in the MIS? (Y,N,X) (Note: X= Participant cannot be located).	0	0	0	0
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
53	Was employment information correctly entered in the follow-up fields in the State MIS for each applicable quarter and properly verified? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = Participant was not employed during the time of the follow up or the participant cannot be located).	13	0	0	13
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		

End of Report.