[WIOA Adult and Dislocated Worker Program]

QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

PROGRAM YEAR 2017 -2018

Review Date: September 2017

LWDB 01



CareerSource Escarosa

September 29, 2017

3670-2A North "L" Street Pensacola, Florida 32505

www.careersourceescarosa.com

MONITORING EXIT SUMMARY

A total of forty nine (49) WIOA Adult and Dislocated Worker case files were monitored during this period. The outcome of this review revealed no (0) apparent concerns.

Pro	16-2017 WOIA ogrammatic Report Page rmula Adult and Dislocated Worker				
	PROGRAM ELIGIBILITY				
		Adult	DW	Total	
1	Indicate whether the participant is an Adult (A) or Dislocated Worker (DW).	46	3	49	
	Percent	93.9%	6.1%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
2	If an Adult, was the Adult determined low income as described in the local plan and was there documentation in the file to support the low income determination? (Y, N, X) (Note: X= Low income was not applicable to the participant, participant was a Dislocated Worker or an Employed/Incumbent Worker referred by the employer).	45	0	4	4
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total

If a Dislocated Worker, did the case file contain documentation of lay-off, termination, plant closure, recently separated veteran or spouse of a recently separated veteran, spouse of a	3	0	46	46
veteran on active duty or other eligible				
Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
	Yes	No	N/A	Total
Was documentation in the case file that the participant was 18 years of age or older at registration? (Y, N). (Note: If DW, participant does not have to be 18; however, determination of age must be documented).	49	0	0	0
Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
	Voc	No	N/A	Total
Was documentation in the case file of U.S. citizenship or authorization to work in the U.S.? (Y, N).	49	0	0	49
Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
	Voc	No	NI / A	Total
If required was documentation in the		_		Total 49
case file of Selective Service Registration or an allowable exemption? (Y, N, X) (Note: X= exempt from selective service registration) (Note: Federal requirement for males	10	U	33	47
	contain documentation of lay-off, termination, plant closure, recently separated veteran or spouse of a recently separated veteran, spouse of a veteran on active duty or other eligible Dislocated Worker criteria? (Y, N, X) (Note: X=Participant was not a Dislocated Worker). Percent Was documentation in the case file that the participant was 18 years of age or older at registration? (Y, N). (Note: If DW, participant does not have to be 18; however, determination of age must be documented). Percent Was documentation in the case file of U.S. citizenship or authorization to work in the U.S.? (Y, N). Percent If required, was documentation in the case file of Selective Service Registration or an allowable exemption? (Y, N, X) (Note: X= exempt from selective service registration)	contain documentation of lay-off, termination, plant closure, recently separated veteran or spouse of a recently separated veteran, spouse of a veteran on active duty or other eligible Dislocated Worker criteria? (Y, N, X) (Note: X=Participant was not a Dislocated Worker). Percent 100.0% Yes Was documentation in the case file that the participant was 18 years of age or older at registration? (Y, N). (Note: If DW, participant does not have to be 18; however, determination of age must be documented). Percent 100.0% Yes Was documentation in the case file of U.S. citizenship or authorization to work in the U.S.? (Y, N). Percent 100.0% Yes If required, was documentation in the case file of Selective Service Registration or an allowable exemption? (Y, N, X) (Note: X= exempt from selective service registration) (Note: Federal requirement for males	contain documentation of lay-off, termination, plant closure, recently separated veteran or spouse of a recently separated veteran, spouse of a veteran on active duty or other eligible Dislocated Worker criteria? (Y, N, X) (Note: X=Participant was not a Dislocated Worker). Percent 100.0% 0.0% Was documentation in the case file that the participant was 18 years of age or older at registration? (Y, N). (Note: If DW, participant does not have to be 18; however, determination of age must be documented). Percent 100.0% 0.0% Was documentation in the case file of U.S. citizenship or authorization to work in the U.S.? (Y, N). Percent 100.0% 0.0% If required, was documentation in the case file of Selective Service Registration or an allowable exemption? (Y, N, X) (Note: X= exempt from selective service registration) (Note: Federal requirement for males	contain documentation of lay-off, termination, plant closure, recently separated veteran or spouse of a recently separated veteran, spouse of a veteran on active duty or other eligible Dislocated Worker criteria? (Y, N, X) (Note: X=Participant was not a Dislocated Worker). Percent

	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
EM	PLOYED/INCUMBENT WORKER DETER	MINATIO	N	•	•
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
7	Was the participant an employed worker/incumbent worker at the time of registration? (Y, N). (If No, questions 8 and 9 will be X).	0	49	0	49
	Percent	0.0%	100.0		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
8	If yes to #7, and the participant was not referred by an employer, is there documentation in the case file indicating that the participant was not earning a self-sufficient wage at the time of registration, was in need of training services to obtain or retain employment leading to "self-sufficiency", and was the participant in agreement with the training selection? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = Referred by an employer).	0	0	49	49
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
9	If yes to #7, and the participant was referred by an employer, is there documentation in the case file from the employer indicating the participant was in need of WIOA training services in order to obtain or retain employment that leads to self-sufficiency or to avert layoffs as	0	0	0	0

	described in local policy? (Y, N, X)				
	(Note: X = Not referred by an				
	employer).				
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
Vet	erans				
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
10	If the participant was a veteran, did the file contain documentation to verify veteran status? (Y, N, X) (Note: X= Participant was not a veteran.).	5	0	44	44
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
11	If the participant was an eligible spouse of a veteran, did the file contain documentation to verify eligible spouse of a veteran status? (Y, N, X) (Note: X= Participant was not an eligible spouse of a veteran).	1	0	48	48
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
GRI	EVANCE FORM				
		Yes	No	Total	
12	Was a signed and dated Grievance/Complaint and EEO/Discrimination Form in the participant's case file? (Y, N).	49	0	49	
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total

		T	T		
13	If yes to #12, did the	49	0	0	0
	Grievance/Complaint and				
	EEO/Discrimination Form include				
	correct names and addresses for filing				
	a grievance, appeal or EEO complaint?				
	(Y, N, X).				
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
BAS	SIC CAREER SERVICES	T	T	1	
		37	N.T.	m . 1	
4.4	*** 1	Yes	No	Total	
14	Was a basic career service activity	49	0	49	
	entered in the State's MIS? (Y, N)				
	(Note: N = Participant did not receive a				
	basic career service that required				
	significant staff assistance. (If N,				
	question 15 will be X).	40000	0.007		
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
15	If yes to #14, was documentation in the	49	0	0	49
	case file of the basic career service				
	provided? (Y, N, X) (Note: X =				
	Participant did not receive a basic				
	career service that required significant				
	staff assistance).				
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
IND	IVIDUALIZED CAREER SERVICES			m . 1	
		Yes	No	Total	
16	Was an Individualized career service	48	0	48	
	entered in the State's MIS? (Y, N)				
	(Note: N= Participant did not receive				
	an individualized career service). (If N,				
	questions 17 and 18 will be X).				

	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
	1 Croone	100.070	0.070		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
17	If yes to #16, was documentation in the	48	0	1	49
1,	case file of a determination of need for	40	U	1	47
	individualized services to obtain or				
	retain employment leading to "self-				
	sufficiency"? (Y,N,X) (Note: X=				
	Participant did not received				
	individualized services).				
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
	refeere	100.070	0.070		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
18	If yes to #16, was documentation in the	48	0	1	49
	case file of the individualized career				
	service provided? (Y, N, X) (Note: X =				
	Participant did not receive an				
	individualized career service).				
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
WO	RK EXPERIENCE/INTERNSHIP/TRANSI	TIONAL J	OBS		
		Yes	No	Total	
19	Was the participant provided a work	0	49	49	
	experience (WE) service? (Y, N) (Note:				
	N = Participant did not receive a WE				
	activity). (If N, questions 20 through				
	26 will be X).				
	Percent	0.0%	100.0		
			%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
20	Was a WE activity entered in the	0	0	49	49
	State's MIS? (Y, N, X) (Note: N =				
	Participant did not receive a WE				
	activity or participant received a WE				
	service but the activity was not entered				
	in the MIS).				
_	prSource Escarosa is an equal opportunity employer. Auviliary aids and servic				

	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
21	If yes to # 20, was a WE training agreement executed between the employer and the LWDB for the participant's training? (Y,N,X) (Note: X = Participant did not receive a WE activity).	0	0	49	49
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
22	Was documentation in the case file that the WE start date was on or after the employer's WE training agreement effective date? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = Participant did not receive a WE activity).	0	0	49	49
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
23	Was this a paid WE? (Y,N,X) (Note: X=Participant did not receive a WE activity). (Note: Transitional Jobs must be a paid activity).	0	0	49	49
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
24	If yes to #23, was the participant paid the wage stated in the agreement and were FLSA requirements met? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = Participant did not receive a WE activity).	0	0	49	49

	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
25	Was the WE training provided as	0	0	49	49
	described in the WE Training Plan? (Y,				
	N, X) (Note: X = Participant did not				
	receive a WE activity).	0.007	0.007		
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
26	, ,	0	0	49	49
	experience combined with				
	comprehensive career services and				
	support services? (Y,N,X) (Note:				
	X=Participant did not receive a WE				
	activity). (Note: Question not				
	applicable to other types of WE).				
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
	CUPATIONAL SKILLS/REGISTERED APP		•		
	PRENTICESHIP/SKILLS UPGRADE AND JCATION AND LITERACY	RETRAI	NING/AD	ULT	
ED	CATION AND LITERACT				
		Yes	No	Total	
27	Was an Occupational Skills (OS),	48	1	49	
	Registered Apprenticeship (RA), Pre-				
	Apprenticeship (PA), Skills Upgrade				
	and Retraining (SUR), or Adult				
	Education and Literacy (AEL) activity				
	entered in the State's Management				
	Information System (MIS)? (Y, N)				
	(Note: N = Participant did not receive				
	OS, RA, PA, SUR or an AEL training				
	services funded with Title I funds). (If				

	N, questions 28 through 33 will be X).				
		00.007	2.007		
	Percent	98.0%	2.0%		
		V	NI -	NI / A	T-4-1
20	If we to # 27 was do surrentation in	Yes	No	N/A	Total
28	If yes to # 27, was documentation in the case file of a determination of need	48	0	1	49
	for training services after an interview, evaluation, or assessment and career				
	planning? (Y, N, X) (Note: X =				
	Participant did not receive an OS, RA,				
	PA, SUR or an AEL training activity				
	funded with Title I funds).				
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
29	If an OS, RA, PA, SUR or AEL activity	48	0	1	49
	was provided, was the training in a				
	local/state demand occupation? (Y, N,				
	X). (Note: X = Participant did not				
	receive an OS, RA, PA, SUR or an AEL				
	training activity funded with Title I				
	funds).				
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
30	Was the training provider on the	48	0	1	49
	local/state approved eligible training				
	provider list (ETPL)? (Y, N, X). (Note: X				
	= Participant did not receive an OS, RA,				
	PA, SUR or an AEL training activity				
	funded with Title I funds).				
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total

0.4	IC I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	10			10
31	If an Individual Training Account (ITA)	48	0	1	49
	was utilized, were ITA costs recorded				
	in the Training Enrollment Cost table in				
	the State's MIS? (Y, N, X) . (Note: $X =$				
	Participant did not receive an OS, RA,				
	PA, SUR or an AEL training activity				
	funded with Title I funds or participant				
	did not enroll in training with an ITA).				
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
32	If a RA activity was provided, was an	48	0	1	49
	OJT activity entered in the State's MIS?				
	(Y, X) (If Y, reviewer must complete				
	the OJT section of the tool). (If X, the				
	participant did not receive RA training				
	or the OJT portion of the RA training				
	was not funded using OJT funds).				
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		200.070	0.070		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
33	If an AEL activity was provided and	48	0	1	49
	Title I Adult and Dislocated Worker				
	funds were used, was the AEL activity				
	done concurrently or in coordination				
	with an allowable training activity?				
	(Y,N, X) (Note: X= Participant did not				
	receive an AEL activity or an AEL				
	activity was given but was not funded				
	with Title I Adult and DW funding).	100 00/	0.007		
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
	ON-THE-JOB/0	 Tistomi	 7FD /IN/	 	 J T
wo	RKER TRAINING		ZED/ IN	COMIDE	N 1
		Yes	No	Total	
	Was On-The-Job (OJT), Customized	0	49	49	

			T		
	Training (CT) or Incumbent Worker				
	Training (IWT) provided to the				
	participant? (Y, N) (Note: N =				
	Participant did not receive OJT, CT or				
	IWT) (If N, questions 35 through 42				
	will be X).				
	Percent	0.0%	100.0		
			%		
		OJT	СТ	IWT	N/A
35	If yes to #34, indicate the type of	0	0	0	49
	training provided (OJT, CT or IWT).				
	Percent	#DIV/0	0.0%	0.0%	
		!			
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
36	If IWT, was there documentation of an	0	0	49	49
	established employment history with				
	the employer of six months or more or				
	was the participant part of a training				
	group where the majority of the group				
	meet the six months requirement? (Y,				
	N, X) (Note: X = Participant did not				
	receive an IWT activity).				
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Voc	N o	NI / A	Total
27	Was an OIT CT on WIT agreement	Yes	No	N/A	Total
37	Was an OJT, CT or IWT agreement	0	0	49	49
	executed between the employer or a				
	RA program sponsor and the Region				
	for the participant's training position? (V. N. Y.) (Note: Y. – Participant did not				
	(Y, N, X) (Note: X = Participant did not				
	receive OJT, CT or IWT activity). (Note:				
	An agreement between the registered				
	apprenticeship program sponsor and				
	the region can only be executed if the				

	participant was placed in a RA				
	program).				
	programj.				
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
38	Is documentation in the case file of the referral to the OJT employer? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = Participant did not receive OJT) (Note: Question not applicable to CT or IWT).	0	0	49	49
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
39	If yes to #38, does the job title on the referral match the occupation listed on the participant's IEP or case notes? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = Participant did not receive OJT) (Note: Question not applicable to CT or IWT).	0	0	49	49
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
40	Is documentation in the case file that the participant's OJT/CT/IWT start date was on or after the employer's OJT/CT/IWT contract effective date? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = Participant did not receive OJT, or CT or IWT).	0	0	49	49
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
41	Did the file contain details of the skills to be attained, the duration of the training and the wage rate or the	0	0	49	49

	_				
	established non-federal				
	reimbursement share? (Y, N, X) (Note:				
	X = Participant did not receive OJT).				
	(Note: Wage rate not applicable to CT				
	and IWT).				
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
	1 ercent	0.0 70	0.070		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
42	Was the training provided as described	0	0	49	49
12	in the OJT/CT/IWT agreement? (Y,N,X)	U		17	
	, , ,				
	(Note: X = Participant did not receive				
	OJT, or CT or IWT).	0.007	0.007		
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
CDI					
CKI	EDENTIAL ATTAINMENT		1		
		Yes	No	Total	
43	Was a credential attainment entered in	13	36	49	
	the MIS? (Y, N). (Note: N = Participant				
	did not receive a credential). (If N,				
	questions 44 and 45 will be X).				
	Percent	26.5%	73.5%		
	refeelit	20.370	7 3.3 70		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
44	If yes to #43, was documentation in the	13	0	36	49
	participant's case file to support the				
	credential? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = no				
	credential entered in MIS).				
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
	refeelt	100.070	0.070		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
45	If yes to #44, did the credential	13	0	36	49
	attainment date and type match the				
	credential attainment information				
	entered in the MIS? (Y, N, X). (Note: X =				
	no credential entered in MIS).				
l	1	I	L	1	

SUPPORTIVE SERVICES Yes No Total		Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
Was a supportive service activity entered in MIS? (Y, N) (Note: N = Participant did not receive a supportive service). (If N, questions 47 through 49 will be X). Percent 77.6% Yes No N/A Total 49 Ves No N/A Total 47 If yes to #46, was there documentation in the participant case file to verify the supportive service provided? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = no supportive service was provided). Percent 100.0% Yes No N/A Total 48 Did the supportive service activity documented in the case file match the supportive service activity entered in the MIS? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = no supportive service was provided). Percent 100.0% Yes No N/A Total 49 Was documentation in the case file to show that the supportive services were issued in accordance with local policy? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = No supportive service was provided).						
Was a supportive service activity entered in MIS? (Y, N) (Note: N = Participant did not receive a supportive service). (If N, questions 47 through 49 will be X). Percent 77.6% Yes No N/A Total If yes to #46, was there documentation in the participant case file to verify the supportive service provided? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = no supportive service was provided). Percent 100.0% N/A Total 48 Did the supportive service activity documented in the case file match the supportive service activity entered in the MIS? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = no supportive service was provided). Percent 100.0% N/A Total 49 Was documentation in the case file to show that the supportive services were issued in accordance with local policy? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = No supportive service was provided).	SUF	PPORTIVE SERVICES				
Was a supportive service activity entered in MIS? (Y, N) (Note: N = Participant did not receive a supportive service). (If N, questions 47 through 49 will be X). Percent 77.6% Yes No N/A Total If yes to #46, was there documentation in the participant case file to verify the supportive service provided? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = no supportive service was provided). Percent 100.0% N/A Total 48 Did the supportive service activity documented in the case file match the supportive service activity entered in the MIS? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = no supportive service was provided). Percent 100.0% N/A Total 49 Was documentation in the case file to show that the supportive services were issued in accordance with local policy? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = No supportive service was provided).						
entered in MIS? (Y, N) (Note: N = Participant did not receive a supportive service). (If N, questions 47 through 49 will be X). Percent 77.6% 22.4% Yes No N/A Total 47 If yes to #46, was there documentation in the participant case file to verify the supportive service provided? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = no supportive service was provided). Percent 100.0% Yes No N/A Total 48 Did the supportive service activity documented in the case file match the supportive service activity entered in the MIS? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = no supportive service was provided). Percent 100.0% Yes No N/A Total 49 Was documentation in the case file to show that the supportive services were issued in accordance with local policy? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = No supportive service was provided).			Yes	No	Total	
Yes No N/A Total	46	entered in MIS? (Y, N) (Note: N = Participant did not receive a supportive service). (If N, questions 47 through 49 will be X).			49	
47 If yes to #46, was there documentation in the participant case file to verify the supportive service provided? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = no supportive service was provided). Percent 100.0% Yes No N/A Total 48 Did the supportive service activity documented in the case file match the supportive service activity entered in the MIS? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = no supportive service was provided). Percent 100.0% Yes No N/A Total 49 Was documentation in the case file to show that the supportive services were issued in accordance with local policy? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = No supportive service was provided).		Percent	77.6%	22.4%		
If yes to #46, was there documentation in the participant case file to verify the supportive service provided? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = no supportive service was provided). Percent			***	N.T.	DT / 4	m . 1
in the participant case file to verify the supportive service provided? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = no supportive service was provided). Percent 100.0% 7es No N/A Total Boid the supportive service activity documented in the case file match the supportive service activity entered in the MIS? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = no supportive service was provided). Percent 100.0% 7es No N/A Total 49 Yes No N/A Total 49 Was documentation in the case file to show that the supportive services were issued in accordance with local policy? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = No supportive service was provided).		70	ļ			
Yes No N/A Total Did the supportive service activity documented in the case file match the supportive service activity entered in the MIS? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = no supportive service was provided). Percent 100.0% 0.0% Yes No N/A Total	47	in the participant case file to verify the supportive service provided? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = no supportive service was	38	0	11	49
A8 Did the supportive service activity documented in the case file match the supportive service activity entered in the MIS? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = no supportive service was provided). Percent Yes No N/A Total 49 Was documentation in the case file to show that the supportive services were issued in accordance with local policy? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = No supportive service was provided).		Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
A8 Did the supportive service activity documented in the case file match the supportive service activity entered in the MIS? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = no supportive service was provided). Percent Yes No N/A Total 49 Was documentation in the case file to show that the supportive services were issued in accordance with local policy? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = No supportive service was provided).						
documented in the case file match the supportive service activity entered in the MIS? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = no supportive service was provided). Percent 100.0% Yes No N/A Total 49 Was documentation in the case file to show that the supportive services were issued in accordance with local policy? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = No supportive service was provided).			Yes	No	N/A	Total
Yes No N/A Total Was documentation in the case file to show that the supportive services were issued in accordance with local policy? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = No supportive service was provided).	48	documented in the case file match the supportive service activity entered in the MIS? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = no	38	0	11	49
Was documentation in the case file to show that the supportive services were issued in accordance with local policy? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = No supportive service was provided).		_ ^ ^	100.0%	0.0%		
Was documentation in the case file to show that the supportive services were issued in accordance with local policy? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = No supportive service was provided).						
Was documentation in the case file to show that the supportive services were issued in accordance with local policy? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = No supportive service was provided).						
Was documentation in the case file to show that the supportive services were issued in accordance with local policy? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = No supportive service was provided).			Yes	No	N/A	Total
Percent 100.0% 0.0%	49	show that the supportive services were issued in accordance with local policy? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = No supportive	38	0	<u> </u>	49
		Percent	100.0%	0.0%		

1 111	OGRAM EXIT and FOLLOW-UP			_	1
		Yes	No	Total	
50	Was the participant exited in the MIS? (Y, N) (N = Case is open or there is a WIA case closure but no exit) (If No, questions 51 through 58 will be X).	0	49	49	
	Percent	0.0%	100.0		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
51	If yes to #50, and the participant exited with unsubsidized employment, was documentation in the case file to verify the employment start date and wage information? (Y, N, X). (X = Participant did not exit with employment).	0	0	49	49
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
52	If yes to #51, was the employment information accurately entered in the MIS? (Y, N, X). (X = Participant did not exit with employment).	0	0	49	49
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
FOI	LLOW-UP SERVICES				
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
53	Was a follow-up service entered in the State's MIS? (Y, N,) (Note: N = Participant's case is currently open, there is a case closure but participant has not exited the program, the participant declines to receive follow-up services or the participant cannot be located).	0	0	49	49
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%	1	
	1 CI CCIIC	0.0 /0	0.0 /0	1	

		Yes	No	N/A	Total
54	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF	#REF
				!	!
	Percent	#REF!	#REF!		
55	If yes to #53, was documentation in the	0	0	49	49
	case file of the follow-up service				
	provided to the participant? (Y, N, X)				
	(Note: X = Participant did not receive				
	follow-up services).	2 22/	0.007		
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
077					
QUA	ARTERLY FOLLOW-UPS			D7 (A	m . 1
	Y4Y 1 C 11	Yes	No	N/A	Total
56	Were required follow-ups conducted	0	0	49	49
	for each of the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th				
	quarters after exit intervals, as				
	applicable? (Y, N, X) (Note: If No,				
	questions 57 and 58 will be X). (Note: X = Participant's case is currently open,				
	there is a case closure but participant				
	has not exited the program, follow-up				
	is not due or the participant cannot be				
	located).				
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
	1. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	0.070	0.070		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
57	If yes to #56, were the follow-ups	0	0	49	49
	conducted by the due date indicated in				
	the follow-up table in the MIS? (Y, N, X)				
	(Note: X=Participant cannot be				
	located).				

	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
59	Was employment information correctly	0	0	49	49
	entered in the follow-up fields in EFM				
	for each applicable quarter and				
	properly verified? (Y, N, X) (Note: X				
	=Participant was not employed during				
	the time of the follow up or the				
	participant cannot be located).				
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		

A 2016-2017 program year tool was used for this event, DEO should have a 2017-2018 tool published sometime in October 2017. The next scheduled monitoring of the WIOA Adult and Dislocated Worker Program will be held in November 2017.

End of Report.