[WIOA Adult and Dislocated Worker Program]

QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

PROGRAM YEAR 2016 -2017

Review Dates: January 30 – February 10, 2017

Region 01



CareerSource Escarosa

February 10, 2017

3670-2A North "L" Street Pensacola, Florida 32505

www.careersourceescarosa.com

MONITORING EXIT SUMMARY

A second programmatic quality assurance review of the Workforce Investment and Opportunity Act Adult and Dislocated Worker Program for P.Y. 2016 -2017 has been completed. A total of fifty (59) case files were reviewed during this period.

Observations

A Corrective Action Plan (CAP) for the following observations has already been initiated and will take time to accomplish. Follow up monitoring will continue until both are resolved.

- Recently it was discovered that a current, up to date, WIOA Manual for local policies and procedures had not been published. Staff is aware of this and it is currently being written by management which will be published in the near foreseeable future. A published WIA Manual with local policies/procedures and amendments with current WIOA Law will continue to serve as current policy until such time as the WIOA Manual is officially published.
- During this review period it was also discovered that two (2) participants dropped out of training before their completion dates. All applicable notifications were annotated by the Career Advisor and identified correctly in the MIS and in the case files. However, it was discovered tuition was paid to a later date after the student's drop out dates. This brought up a question concerning tuition refunds. After further review of the files and consulting with the Accounting, refunds had not been received as of this date. WIOA Staff were made aware of this and immediately contacted the school concerning their refund policy and the two prior student's accounts. The school verified that refunds were issued, but they were returned to the actual funding source and not to CareerSource Escarosa Accounting as they should have. Currently, there is ongoing deliberations with CareerSource and the school to clear up any accounting issues in the future. Policy/procedures in this regard are being relooked for the upcoming published WIOA Manual and being adjusted as necessary to prevent this from happening in the future.

The following is a summary of the monitoring conducted, no other issues were unresolved for this monitoring period. Any questions or concerns regarding the outcomes of this monitoring review can be directed to the program's monitor at any time.

	2016-2017 WOIA Programmatic Report Page Formula Adult and Dislocated Worker				
	59 WIOA A/DW files were monitored during this time period.				
	PROGRAM ELIGIBILITY				
		Adult	DW	Total	
1	Indicate whether the participant is an Adult (A) or Dislocated Worker (DW).	56	3	59	
	Percent	94.9%	5.1%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Tota
2	If an Adult, was the Adult determined low income as described in the local plan and was there documentation in the file to support the low income determination? (Y, N, X) (Note: X= Low income was not applicable to the participant, participant was a Dislocated Worker or an Employed/Incumbent Worker referred by the employer).	54	0	5	5
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		Vee	Nia	NI / A	Tata
2	If a Dialageted Warker, did the error file contain desurror tation of law	Yes	<u>No</u>	N/A	Tota
3	If a Dislocated Worker, did the case file contain documentation of lay- off, termination, plant closure, recently separated veteran or spouse of a recently separated veteran, spouse of a veteran on active duty or other eligible Dislocated Worker criteria? (Y, N, X) (Note: X=Participant was not a Dislocated Worker).	3	U	56	56
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Tota
4	Was documentation in the case file that the participant was 18 years	59	0	0	0
-	of age or older at registration? (Y, N). (Note: If DW, participant does not have to be 18; however, determination of age must be documented).		0	0	
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		

		Yes	No	N/A	Total
5	Was documentation in the case file of U.S. citizenship or authorization to work in the U.S.? (Y, N).	59	0	0	59
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
6	If required, was documentation in the case file of Selective Service Registration or an allowable exemption? (Y, N, X) (Note: X= exempt from selective service registration) (Note: Federal requirement for males born on or after January 1, 1960).	6	0	53	59
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
	nales born on or after January 1, 1960).Image: No percent100.0%O.0%Percent100.0%0.0%Image: No percentImage: No percentImage: No percentMas the participant an employed worker/incumbent worker at the ime of registration? (Y, N). (If No, questions 8 and 9 will be X).YesNoN/AToPercent33.9%66.1%Image: No percentImage: No percen				
			No	N/A	Tota
7	Was the participant an employed worker/incumbent worker at the time of registration? (Y, N). (If No, questions 8 and 9 will be X).	20	39		59
	Percent	33.9%	66.1%		
8		-			Tota
	there documentation in the case file indicating that the participant was not earning a self-sufficient wage at the time of registration, was in need of training services to obtain or retain employment leading to "self-sufficiency", and was the participant in agreement with the training selection? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = Referred by an employer).		Ū		
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Tota
9	If yes to #7, and the participant was referred by an employer, is there documentation in the case file from the employer indicating the participant was in need of WIOA training services in order to obtain or retain employment that leads to self-sufficiency or to avert layoffs as described in local policy? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = Not referred by an employer).	0	0	0	0
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
	Votorons				
	Veterans				
		Yes	No	N/A	Tota
10	If the participant was a veteran, did the file contain documentation to verify veteran status? (Y, N, X) (Note: X= Participant was not a	5	0	54	54

	veteran.).				
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
				-	Total
11		7	0	52	52
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
	GRIEVANCE FORM			1	-
12		59	0	59	
	Form in the participant's case file? (Y, N).				
	Dersont	100.00/	0.00/		
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
13	If yes to #12, did the Grievance/Complaint and EEO/Discrimination			-	
		55	Ū	Ũ	Ŭ
		100.0%	0.0%		
	Feiceni	100.076	0.076		
	BASIC CAREER SERVICES				
		Yes	No	Total	
14	Was a basic career service activity entered in the State's MIS? (V. NI)				
14	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	55	U	55	
		100.0%	0.0%		
		100.070	0.070		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
15	If yes to #14, was documentation in the case file of the basic career	59	0	0	59
	service provided? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = Participant did not receive a				
		100.0%	0.0%	1	
				1	
	Percent100.0%0.0%Percent100.0%0.0%PercentYesNoN/ATotalTotal70Sa signed and dated Grievance/Complaint and EEO/Discrimination590Percent100.0%0.0%Percent100.0%0.0%Sa signed and dated Grievance/Complaint and EEO/Discrimination590Percent100.0%0.0%Percent100.0%0.0%Percent100.0%0.0%Percent100.0%0.0%Percent100.0%0.0%Percent100.0%0.0%Percent100.0%0.0%Percent100.0%0.0%Percent100.0%0.0%Percent100.0%0.0%Percent100.0%0.0%Percent100.0%0.0%Percent100.0%0.0%Percent100.0%0.0%Percent100.0%0.0%Percent100.0%0.0%Percent100.0%59Sa basic career service activity entered in the State's MIS? (Y, N)590Sa basic career service activity entered in the State's MIS? (Y, N)590Sa basic career service activity entered in the State's MIS? (Y, N)590Sa basic career service activity entered in the State's MIS? (Y, N)590Sa basic career service activity entered in the State's MIS? (Y, N)590Sa basic career service activity entered in the State's MIS?				
		Yes	No	Total	
	1			1	

			_		-
16	Was an Individualized career service entered in the State's MIS? (Y, N) (Note: N= Participant did not receive an individualized career service). (If N, questions 17 and 18 will be X).	59	0	59	
			/		
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
17	If yes to #16, was documentation in the case file of a determination of need for individualized services to obtain or retain employment leading to "self-sufficiency"? (Y,N,X) (Note: X= Participant did not received individualized services).	59	0	0	59
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
18	If yes to #16, was documentation in the case file of the individualized career service provided? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = Participant did not receive an individualized career service).	59	0	0	59
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
	WORK EXPERIENCE/INTERNSHIP/TRANSITIONA	1			
		Yes	No	Total	
19	Was the participant provided a work experience (WE) service? (Y, N) (Note: N = Participant did not receive a WE activity). (If N, questions 20 through 26 will be X).	0	59	59	
	Percent	0.0%	100.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
20	Was a WE activity entered in the State's MIS? (Y, N, X) (Note: N = Participant did not receive a WE activity or participant received a WE service but the activity was not entered in the MIS).	0	0	59	59
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
21	If yes to # 20, was a WE training agreement executed between the employer and the LWDB for the participant's training? (Y,N,X) (Note: X = Participant did not receive a WE activity).	0	0	59	59
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Vac	No	NI / A	Total
22	Was documentation in the case file that the WE start date was on or	Yes 0	No 0	N/A 59	Total 59
LL	after the employer's WE training agreement effective date? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = Participant did not receive a WE activity).		U	59	55

		Yes	No	N/A	Total
23	Was this a paid WE? (Y,N,X) (Note: X=Participant did not receive a WE activity). (Note: Transitional Jobs must be a paid activity).	0	0	59	59
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
24	If yes to #23, was the participant paid the wage stated in the agreement and were FLSA requirements met? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = Participant did not receive a WE activity).	0	0	59	59
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
25	Was the WE training provided as described in the WE Training Plan? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = Participant did not receive a WE activity).	0	0	59	59
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Tota
26	If a transitional job, was the work experience combined with comprehensive career services and support services? (Y,N,X) (Note: X=Participant did not receive a WE activity). (Note: Question not applicable to other types of WE).	0	0	59	59
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
	OCCUPATIONAL SKILLS/REGISTERED APPRENTICESHIP/PRE-APPRENTIC RETRAINING/ADULT EDUCATION AND LITER/	-	LLS UPGI	RADE AN	D
		Yes	No	Total	
27	Was an Occupational Skills (OS), Registered Apprenticeship (RA), Pre- Apprenticeship (PA), Skills Upgrade and Retraining (SUR), or Adult Education and Literacy (AEL) activity entered in the State's Management Information System (MIS)? (Y, N) (Note: N = Participant did not receive OS, RA, PA, SUR or an AEL training services funded with Title I funds). (If N, questions 28 through 33 will be X).	59	0	59	
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
28	If yes to # 27, was documentation in the case file of a determination of	59	0	0	59

	assessment and career planning? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = Participant did not receive an OS, RA, PA, SUR or an AEL training activity funded with Title I funds).				
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		Vec	No		Tatal
20	If an OS DA DA SUD or ASI activity was provided was the training in	Yes 59	<u>No</u>	N/A 0	Total
29	If an OS, RA, PA, SUR or AEL activity was provided, was the training in a local/state demand occupation? (Y, N, X). (Note: X = Participant did not receive an OS, RA, PA, SUR or an AEL training activity funded with Title I funds).	59	U	0	59
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
30	Was the training provider on the local/state approved eligible training provider list (ETPL)? (Y, N, X). (Note: X = Participant did not receive an OS, RA, PA, SUR or an AEL training activity funded with Title I funds).	59	0	0	59
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
31	If an Individual Training Account (ITA) was utilized, were ITA costs recorded in the Training Enrollment Cost table in the State's MIS? (Y, N, X). (Note: X = Participant did not receive an OS, RA, PA, SUR or an AEL training activity funded with Title I funds or participant did not enroll in training with an ITA).	59	0	0	59
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		N a a	NIE	NI / A	Tatal
32	If a DA activity was provided, was an OIT activity entered in the State's	Yes 0	<u>No</u>	N/A 59	Total 59
52	If a RA activity was provided, was an OJT activity entered in the State's MIS? (Y, X) (If Y, reviewer must complete the OJT section of the tool). (If X, the participant did not receive RA training or the OJT portion of the RA training was not funded using OJT funds).	0	0	33	59
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
33	If an AEL activity was provided and Title I Adult and Dislocated Worker funds were used, was the AEL activity done concurrently or in coordination with an allowable training activity? (Y,N, X) (Note: X= Participant did not receive an AEL activity or an AEL activity was given but was not funded with Title I Adult and DW funding).	57	0	2	59
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
	ON-THE-JOB/CUSTOMIZED/INCUMBENT WORKER	TRAINING		<u> </u>	
		Yes	No	Total	
34	Was On-The-Job (OJT), Customized Training (CT) or Incumbent Worker Training (IWT) provided to the participant? (Y, N) (Note: N =	0	59	59	

	Participant did not receive OJT, CT or IWT) (If N, questions 35 through 42 will be X).				
	Percent	0.0%	100.0%		
		TLO	СТ	IWT	N/A
35	If yes to #34, indicate the type of training provided (OJT, CT or IWT).	0	0	0	, 59
	Percent	#DIV/0!	0.0%	0.0%	
	Feitent	#DIV/0:	0.076	0.076	
		Yes	No	N/A	Tota
36	If IWT, was there documentation of an established employment history with the employer of six months or more or was the participant part of a training group where the majority of the group meet the six months requirement? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = Participant did not receive an IWT activity).	0	0	59	59
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes 0	No	N/A	Tota
37	Was an OJT, CT or IWT agreement executed between the employer or a RA program sponsor and the Region for the participant's training position? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = Participant did not receive OJT, CT or IWT activity). (Note: An agreement between the registered apprenticeship program sponsor and the region can only be executed if the participant was placed in a RA program).	0	0	59	59
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Tota
38	Is documentation in the case file of the referral to the OJT employer? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = Participant did not receive OJT) (Note: Question not applicable to CT or IWT).	0	0	59	59
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Tota
39	If yes to #38, does the job title on the referral match the occupation listed on the participant's IEP or case notes? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = Participant did not receive OJT) (Note: Question not applicable to CT or IWT).	0	0	59	59
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Tota
40	Is documentation in the case file that the participant's OJT/CT/IWT start date was on or after the employer's OJT/CT/IWT contract	0	0	59	59

	effective date? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = Participant did not receive OJT, or				
	CT or IWT).				
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Tota
41	Did the file contain details of the skills to be attained, the duration of the training and the wage rate or the established non-federal reimbursement share? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = Participant did not receive OJT). (Note: Wage rate not applicable to CT and IWT).	0	0	59	59
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
					— .
		Yes	No	N/A	Tota
42	Was the training provided as described in the OJT/CT/IWT agreement? (Y,N,X) (Note: X = Participant did not receive OJT, or CT or IWT).	0	0	59	59
	Percent	0.0%	0.0%		
	CREDENTIAL ATTAINMENT				
		Yes	No	Total	
43	Was a credential attainment entered in the MIS? (Y, N). (Note: N = Participant did not receive a credential). (If N, questions 44 and 45 will be X).	20	39	59	
	Percent	33.9%	66.1%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Tota
44	If yes to #43, was documentation in the participant's case file to support the credential? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = no credential entered in MIS).	20	0	39	59
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Tota
45	If yes to #44, did the credential attainment date and type match the credential attainment information entered in the MIS? (Y, N, X). (Note:	20	0	39	59
45	X = no credential entered in MIS).				
45		100.0%	0.0%		
45	X = no credential entered in MIS). Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
45	X = no credential entered in MIS).	100.0%	0.0%		
45	X = no credential entered in MIS). Percent			Total	
45	X = no credential entered in MIS). Percent	100.0% Yes 54	0.0% No 5	Total 59	

	1	Vaa	No		Tatal
47	If yes to #46, was there documentation in the participant case file to verify the supportive service provided? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = no	Yes 54	No 0	N/A 5	Total 59
	supportive service was provided).				
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
48	Did the supportive service activity documented in the case file match	54	0	5	59
	the supportive service activity entered in the MIS? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = no supportive service was provided).		C C		
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		Vaa	No	NI / A	Tatal
49	Was desumentation in the case file to show that the supportive	Yes 54	No 0	N/A 5	Total 59
49	Was documentation in the case file to show that the supportive services were issued in accordance with local policy? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = No supportive service was provided).	54	0	Э	59
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
	PROGRAM EXIT and FOLLOW-UP	1		r	
		Yes	No	Total	
50	Was the participant exited in the MIS? (Y, N) (N = Case is open or there is a WIA case closure but no exit) (If No, questions 51 through 58 will be X).	1	58	59	
	Percent	1.7%	98.3%		
		Mar	N L -	N1 (A	T I
F1	If yes to #FO, and the participant evited with unsubsidized	Yes 1	No 0	N/A 58	Total 59
51	If yes to #50, and the participant exited with unsubsidized employment, was documentation in the case file to verify the employment start date and wage information? (Y, N, X). (X = Participant did not exit with employment).	Ĩ	U	20	59
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
52	If yes to #51, was the employment information accurately entered in the MIS? (Y, N, X). (X = Participant did not exit with employment).	1	0	58	59
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
	FOLLOW-UP SERVICES				
		N	NL	NI (A	T . I . I
E.2	Mac a follow up convice entered in the State's MIS2 (V. N.). (Neta: N	Yes	No	N/A 58	Total
53	Was a follow-up service entered in the State's MIS? (Y, N,) (Note: N = Participant's case is currently open, there is a case closure but participant has not exited the program, the participant declines to	1	0	58	59
	receive follow-up services or the participant cannot be located). Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
	reiteill	100.0%	0.0%		

		Yes	No	N/A	Total
54	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!
	Percent	#REF!	#REF!		
55	If yes to #53, was documentation in the case file of the follow-up	1	0	58	59
	service provided to the participant? (Y, N, X) (Note: X = Participant did not receive follow-up services).				
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
	QUARTERLY FOLLOW-UPS				
	QUARTERET FOLLOW-015	Yes	No	N/A	Total
56	Were required follow-ups conducted for each of the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and	1	0	58	59
50	4th quarters after exit intervals, as applicable? (Y, N, X) (Note: If No,	-	0	50	55
	questions 57 and 58 will be X). (Note: X = Participant's case is currently				
	open, there is a case closure but participant has not exited the program, follow-up is not due or the participant cannot be located).				
		100.0%	0.0%		
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
57	If yes to #56, were the follow-ups conducted by the due date indicated	1	0	58	59
	in the follow-up table in the MIS? (Y, N, X) (Note: X=Participant cannot				
	be located).				
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		
		Yes	No	N/A	Total
59	Was employment information correctly entered in the follow-up fields in EFM for each applicable guarter and properly verified? (Y, N, X)	1	0	58	59
	(Note: X =Participant was not employed during the time of the follow				
	up or the participant cannot be located).				
	Percent	100.0%	0.0%		

End of Report.